Density-based functional renormalization group for quantum many-body problems

Takeru Yokota

RIKEN iTHEMS

Functional renormalization group at RIKEN 2023, RIKEN Wako, Jan. 21, 2023

Collaborators

Teiji Kunihiro	Kyoto U., YITP	
Kenichi Yoshida	Kyoto U. \rightarrow Osa	aka U., RCNP
Tomoya Naito	RIKEN iTHEMS	
Haruki Kasuya	Kyoto U., YITP	
Osamu Sugino	U. Tokyo, ISSP	TY, Yoshida, Kunihiro, PRC (2019)
		TY, Yoshida, Kunihiro, PTEP (2019)
Jun Haruyama	U. Tokyo, ISSP	TY, Naito, PRB (2019)
		TY, Naito, PRResearch (2021)
新行在《日本》的《 本 》		TY, Kasuya, Yoshida, Kunihiro PTEP (2021)
		TY, Haruyama, Sugino, PRE (2021)
Ales Andreas and a second		TY, Naito, PRB (2022)



- Density functional theory (DFT)
 - Introduction
 - Effective-action formalism for DFT
- Functional evolution equation
- Demonstration: electron system



- Density functional theory (DFT)
 - Introduction
 - Effective-action formalism for DFT
- Functional evolution equation
- Demonstration: electron system

Density functional theory (DFT)



quantum many-body system

P. Hohenberg



W. Kohn

Chem. 1998

L. J. Sham



DFT: Exact many body theory based on $\rho_{\rm gs}$

instead of Ψ_{gs}

Why possible?

Hohenberg-Kohn theorem

Suppose a non-relativistic system whose Hamiltonian is given by

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{U} + \hat{U} + \hat{U}$$

 $\hat{V} \quad \text{Hohenberg, Kohn, PR (1964)} \\ \hat{V} = \int dx \hat{\rho}(x) V(x)$

Statements (non-deregerate case)

1. Equivalence of density and wave func.

proved by contradiction

There exists 1-to-1 mapping b/w $ho_{\rm gs}$, $\Psi_{\rm gs}$, V

 $\Psi_{\rm gs}$ is a functional of $\rho_{\rm gs}$: $\Psi_{\rm gs}=\Psi_{\rm gs}[\rho_{\rm gs}]$



2. Variational principle

There exists energy density functional (EDF), whose minimum point gives $ho_{
m gs}$

EDF has the following form:
$$E[\rho] = \left\langle \Psi_{gs}[\rho] \middle| \hat{H} \middle| \Psi_{gs}[\rho] \right\rangle = F[\rho] + \int d\mathbf{x}\rho(\mathbf{x})V(\mathbf{x})$$

V-independent, dependent on particle mass, interaction)

"Universal" part

external potential

Hohenberg-Kohn theorem

Ĥ

Suppose a non-relativistic system whose Hamiltonian is given by

$$= \hat{T} + \hat{U} +$$

Hohenberg, Kohn, PR (1964

$$\hat{V} = \int dx \hat{\rho}(x) V(x)$$

Degenerate case

- 1-to-1 mapping b/w sets: $\{\rho_{gs}\}_{degenerate}$, $\{\Psi_{gs}\}_{degenerate}$, V
- Well-defined EDF exists

$$E[\rho] = \left\langle \Psi_{gs}[\rho] \middle| \hat{H} \middle| \Psi_{gs}[\rho] \right\rangle$$

One of the degenerate wave functions $\left\{\Psi_{gs}\right\}_{degenerate}$, V $\left\{\rho_{gs}\right\}_{degenerate}$, V $\left\{\Psi_{gs}\right\}_{degenerate}$

external potential

- Spontaneous symmetry breaking is in principle described, but calculation of the order parameter as a functional of ρ , $O[\rho] = \langle \Psi_{gs}[\rho] | \hat{O} | \Psi_{gs}[\rho] \rangle$ is usually infeasible in practice.
 - For practical analysis, additional density is introduced.

e.g.) $E[\rho_{\uparrow},\rho_{\downarrow}]$ to describe magnetism

Application to numerical analysis

Kohn-Sham scheme

Kohn, Sham, PR (1965)

$$E[\rho] = T[\rho] + \Delta E[\rho]$$

free kinetic residual
Var. eq. $\frac{\delta T[\rho]}{\delta \rho(x)} + \frac{\delta \Delta E[\rho]}{\delta \rho(x)} = \mu$
That for non-interacting system with ext. field $V_{\text{KS}} = \delta \Delta E/\delta \rho$
Variational problem
= finding self-consistent solution for the non-int. Schrodinger eq.
 $\left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + V_{\text{KS}}[\rho_{\text{gs}}](x)\right)\phi_i(x) = \epsilon_i\phi_i(x)$ with $\rho_{\text{gs}}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N |\phi_i(x)|^2$
Computationally efficient!
 $N \lesssim 10^4$ (or $10^{5 \sim 6}$?)

Application

- Electrons (crystal, molecule)
- Nucleus

etc..

 No external field. But DFT is a tool to describe nucleus with various particle number in a unified manner.

 $(10^{3\sim4} \text{ atoms, electrons})$



http://www.slis.tsukuba.ac.jp/ cicsj27/cicsj27/J13.pdf



Proteins

Energy density functional (EDF)

How can we obtain $E[\rho]$?

Most important but still open problem...

Electron EDF

Microscopic int.: Coulomb Jacob's ladder



Figure from Goerigk, Mehta, Aust. J. Chem. (2019)

Many EDFs



Figure from Burke, J. Chem. Phys. (2012)

Nuclear EDF

Microscopic int.: Nuclear force + Coulomb

Ambiguity in nuclear force

But determination of nuclear force is in progress: Scattering exp., lattice QCD, chiral EFT, ...

So far, phenomenological EDFs obtained from fitting of experimental data have been usually used.

Construction of EDF

- Data-driven approach
 - Fitting data from Monte Carlo, few-body calc., experiments,...
- Many-body perturbation

Development of systematic bottom-up approach is still required

in order for...

- further improvement of accuracy
- making the relation to microscopic int. clear

. . .

DFT in effective action formalism

Density: composite field $\rho_{\psi}(x) = \psi^*(x)\psi(x)$

EDF

Imaginary-time partition function for density correlation $\rho_{\psi}(\tau, x) = \psi^*(\tau + 0, x)\psi(\tau, x)$

 $Z[J] = \int \mathscr{D}\psi \mathscr{D}\psi^* e^{-S[\psi,\psi^*] + \int_0^\beta d\tau \int dx J(\tau,x)\rho_{\psi}(\tau,x)}$ To extract the g.s. property, we replace $\rho(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \rho(\mathbf{x})$ and take $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ at the end.

Effective action for ρ (two-particle-point-irreducible effective action)

$$\Gamma[\rho] = \sup_{J} \left(\int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \int d\mathbf{x} J(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \rho(\tau, \mathbf{x}) - \ln Z[J] \right)$$

Fukuda, Kotani, Suzuki, Yokojima, PTP92 (1994) Valiev, Fernando, arXiv:9702247 (1997)

for
$$ho(au, {m x}) =
ho({m x})$$

10

 $E[\rho] = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \frac{\Gamma[\rho]}{\beta} \quad \text{for } \rho(\tau, x) = \rho(x)$ $\therefore \quad \text{Variational principle = quantum EOM } \Gamma^{(1)}[\rho_{gs}] = \mu$ $\Gamma[\rho_{gs}] = \beta F_{\text{Helm}}$

Approaches to DFT based on effective-action formalism

c.f.) Reviews by R.J. Furnstahl+

"EFT for DFT", Furnstahl (2007)

"Toward ab initio density functional theory for nuclei", Drut, Furnstahl, Platter (2009)

"Turning the nuclear energy density functional method into a proper effective field theory: reflections", Furnstahl (2019)

Expansion w.r.t. small parameter

- Power counting with fixing ρ is obtained.
- e.g., Fermi-momentum expansion (dilute system)

• FRG-like evolution eq. This talk

Polonyi, Sailer (2002), Schwenk, Polonyi (2004)



of fermions in a trap Puglia, Bhattacharyya, Furnstahl, NPA (2003)



- Density functional theory (DFT)
 - Introduction
 - Effective-action formalism for DFT
- Functional evolution equation
- Demonstration: electron system

Adiabatic-connection fluctuation-dissipation theorem

Levy, Perdew (1985), Levy (1991), Gorling, Levy (1992)

Switching on of two-body int.

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \lambda \hat{U} + \hat{V}_{\lambda} \quad \text{Ext. field to fix } \rho$$



Density correlation $S_{\lambda}(x, x') = \langle \hat{\rho}(x) \hat{\rho}(x') \rangle_{\lambda} - \rho(x) \rho(x')$ is needed as an input.

Evolution equation for effective action

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(\tau, \mathbf{x})\rho(\tau, \mathbf{x}') + \left(\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_{\lambda}}{\delta \rho \delta \rho}\right)^{-1} [\rho](\tau, \mathbf{x}, \tau', \mathbf{x}') - \rho(\tau, \mathbf{x})\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \end{aligned}$$

- Closed equation for $\Gamma_{\!\lambda}[\rho]$

- Input from other calculation is not needed.
- This in principle gives all the correlation functions.

Calculation techniques in FRG are possibly useful.

- Vertex expansion (VE), local potential approximation (LPA)
- Real-time response function
 - Analytic continuation is easy.

e.g.) O(4), quark-meson model

Kamikado, Strodthoff, von Smekal, Wambach (2020) Tripolt, Strodthoff, von Smekal, Wambach (2014) TY, Kunihiro, Morita (2016), (2017)...

Studies of FRG-aided DFT

 Classical & quantum anharmonic oscillato 	rs
Kemler, Braun, JPG (2013)	VE
Liang, Niu, Hatsuda, PLB (2018)	VE
 One-dim nuclear system 	
Kemler, Pospiech, Braun, JPG (2017)	VE
TY, Yoshida, Kunihiro, PRC (2019)	VE
TY, Yoshida, Kunihiro, PTEP (2019)	VE Excited states
Electron systems	(real-time correlation function)
TY, Naito, PRB (2019)	VE
TY, Naito, PRResearch (2021)	VE
TY, Naito, PRB (2022)	VE
 Classical liquids 	
Lue, AIChE (2015)	LPA
TY, Haruyama, Sugino, PRE (2021)	VE
 Superfluid system 	
TY, Kasuya, Yoshida, Kunihiro PTEP (2	EVALUATE: Formulation

Contents

- Density functional theory (DFT)
 - Introduction
 - Effective-action formalism for DFT
- Functional evolution equation
- Demonstration: electron system



- Density functional theory (DFT)
 - Introduction
 - Effective-action formalism for DFT
- Functional evolution equation
- Demonstration: electron system

w/ T. Naito

TY, Naito, PRB (2019) TY, Naito, PRResearch (2021) TY, Naito, PRB (2022)

Local density approximation (LDA)

$$E[\rho] = F[\rho] + \int d\mathbf{x}\rho(\mathbf{x})V(\mathbf{x})$$

Hartree
$$F[\rho] = T[\rho] + \frac{1}{2}\int d\mathbf{x}\int d\mathbf{x}'U(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\rho(\mathbf{x})\rho(\mathbf{x}') + E_{\rm xc}[\rho]$$
 exchange

exchange-correlation (unknown)

LDA Simple approx. for EDF small gradient limit $\nabla \rho(x) / \rho(x)^{4/3} \ll 1$

Proof: Lewin, Lieb, Seiringer (2020)

S

$$E_{\rm xc}[\rho] \approx \int d\mathbf{x} \epsilon_{\rm xc} \left(\rho(\mathbf{x}) \right) \rho(\mathbf{x})$$

xc energy per particle of homogeneous gas

LSDA (local spin density approx.)

ſ

$$E_{\rm xc}[\rho_{\uparrow},\rho_{\downarrow}] \approx \int d\mathbf{x}\epsilon_{\rm xc}\left(\rho_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{x}),\rho_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{x})\right)\sum \rho_{s}(\mathbf{x})$$

Let us derive $E_{\rm xc}$ with VE

Jellium model

Electron gas in homogeneous static background positive ion

$$S_{\lambda}[\psi,\psi^{\dagger}] = \int d\tau \int d\mathbf{x} \psi^{\dagger}(\tau+0,\mathbf{x}) \left(\partial_{\tau} - \frac{\Delta}{2}\right) \psi(\tau,\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int d\tau \int d\mathbf{x} \int d\mathbf{x}' U(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') \left(\rho_{\psi}(\tau,\mathbf{x})-n\right) \left(\rho_{\psi}(\tau,\mathbf{x}')-n\right)$$

19

in Hartree atomic unit $a_{\rm Bohr} = m_{\rm e} = 1$

Electron field: $\psi = {}^{t}(\psi_{\uparrow}, \psi_{\downarrow})$

Coulomb force: $U(x - x') = |x - x'|^{-1}$

Electron density: $\rho_{\psi}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \psi^{\dagger}(\tau + 0, \mathbf{x})\psi(\tau, \mathbf{x})$

Background-ion density: *n*

Vertex expansion

Expansion around $\rho_{\uparrow,\downarrow}=\rho_{\mathrm{gs}\uparrow,\downarrow}$ of interest $\Gamma_{\lambda}[\rho_{\uparrow,\downarrow}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{X_1} \cdots \sum_{X_n} \Gamma_{\lambda}^{(n)}[\rho_{g_{S_{\uparrow,\downarrow}}}](X_1,\ldots,X_n) \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\rho(X_i) - \rho_{g_{S,S_i}}\right) \qquad X = (\tau, \mathbf{x}, s)$ $\partial_{\lambda}\Gamma_{\lambda}[\rho_{\mathrm{gs},\uparrow\downarrow}]\Big(=\partial_{\lambda}\beta E_{\mathrm{gs},\lambda}\Big)=\mathrm{Flow}_{\lambda}^{(0)}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{gs},\uparrow\downarrow},\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(2)}[\rho_{\mathrm{gs},\uparrow\downarrow}]\right]$ 0th Energy $\partial_{\lambda}\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(1)}[\rho_{\mathrm{gs},\uparrow\downarrow}](X)\big(=\partial_{\lambda}\mu_{\lambda}\big)=\mathrm{Flow}_{\lambda}^{(1)}\left[X;\rho_{\mathrm{gs},\uparrow\downarrow},\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(2)}[\rho_{\mathrm{gs},\uparrow\downarrow}],\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(3)}[\rho_{\mathrm{gs},\uparrow\downarrow}]\right]$ 1st **Chemical potential** $\partial_{\lambda} \Gamma_{\lambda}^{(2)}[\rho_{\mathrm{gs},\uparrow\downarrow}](X_1,X_2) \Big(= \partial_{\lambda} G_{\lambda}^{(2)-1}(X_1,X_2) \Big)$ 2nd **Density correlation** $= \operatorname{Flow}_{\lambda}^{(2)} \left[X_{1}, X_{2}; \rho_{\mathrm{gs},\uparrow\downarrow}, \Gamma_{\lambda}^{(2)}[\rho_{\mathrm{gs},\uparrow\downarrow}], \Gamma_{\lambda}^{(3)}[\rho_{\mathrm{gs},\uparrow\downarrow}], \Gamma_{\lambda}^{(4)}[\rho_{\mathrm{gs},\uparrow\downarrow}] \right]$

Approximation for higher-order terms is needed

Flow equations for density correlations

Density correlation

$$G_{\lambda}^{(n)}(X_{1}, \dots, X_{n}) = \frac{\delta^{n}}{\delta J(X_{1}) \cdots \delta J(X_{n})} \ln Z_{\lambda}[J]$$
Momentum space (homogeneous)

$$(2\pi)^{4} \delta(P_{1} + \dots + P_{n}) \tilde{G}_{\lambda,s_{1},\dots,s_{n}}^{(n)}(P_{1}, \dots, P_{n-1}) = F \cdot T \cdot \left(G_{\lambda}^{(n)}\right)$$

$$P = (\omega, p)$$

Since $\tilde{G}_0^{(n)}$ is easier to calculate than $\Gamma_0^{(n)}$, we rewrite the flow eq. in terms of $\tilde{G}_\lambda^{(n)}$.

Flow eqs. (after spin summation)

Oth
$$\partial_{\lambda} \frac{E_{\text{gs},\lambda}}{N} = \frac{1}{2n} \int_{\mathbf{p}} \tilde{U}(\mathbf{p}) \left(\int_{\omega} \tilde{G}_{\lambda}^{(2)}(P) - n \right)$$

1st $\partial_{\lambda} \mu_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2\tilde{G}_{\lambda}^{(2)}(0)} \int_{P} \tilde{U}(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{G}_{\lambda}^{(3)}(P, -P) - \frac{1}{2} U(0)$
2nd $\partial_{\lambda} \tilde{G}_{\lambda}^{(2)}(P) = -\tilde{U}(p) \tilde{G}_{\lambda}^{(2)}(P)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{P'} \tilde{U}(\mathbf{p}') \tilde{G}_{\lambda}^{(4)}(P', -P', P) + \tilde{G}_{\lambda}^{(3)}(P, -P) \left(\partial_{\lambda} \mu_{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} U(0) \right)$

Truncation

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\lambda}\tilde{G}_{\lambda}^{(2)}(P) &= -\tilde{U}(p)\tilde{G}_{\lambda}^{(2)}(P)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{P'} \tilde{U}(\mathbf{p}')\tilde{G}_{\lambda}^{(4)}(P', -P', P) + \tilde{G}_{\lambda}^{(3)}(P, -P) \left(\partial_{\lambda}\mu_{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}U(0) \right) \\ &= C_{\lambda}(P; \zeta, r_{s}) \qquad \text{Spin polarization: } \zeta = \frac{\rho_{gs,1} - \rho_{gs,1}}{\rho_{gs,1} + \rho_{gs,1}} \\ & \text{Wigner-Seitz radius: } r_{s} = \left[3/ \left[4\pi \left(\rho_{gs,1} + \rho_{gs,1} \right) \right] \right]^{1/3} \\ \text{From the flow equation for } \tilde{G}_{\lambda}^{(3,4)}, \text{ one can show} \\ C_{\lambda}(P; \zeta, r_{s}) &= C_{0}(P; \zeta, r_{s}) \left(1 + \mathcal{O} \left(r_{s}f(\overline{P}, \zeta) \right) \right) \qquad \overline{P} = \left(r_{s}^{2}\omega, r_{s}p \right) \\ \text{We ignore this (high-density expansion)} \\ \text{Analytic solution can be obtained} \\ \frac{E_{gs,\lambda=1}}{N} &= \frac{E_{gs,\lambda=0}}{N} \quad \text{Kinetic } \frac{3}{10r_{s}^{2}} \left(\frac{9\pi}{4} \right)^{25} \frac{(1+\zeta)^{8/1}+(1-\zeta)^{8/3}}{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2n} \int_{p} \tilde{U}(\mathbf{p}) \left(\int_{\omega} \tilde{G}_{0}^{(2)}(P) - n \right) \quad \text{Exchange} \quad -\frac{3}{4\pi} \left(\frac{9\pi}{4r_{s}} \right)^{1/3} \frac{(1+\zeta)^{4/3}+(1-\zeta)^{4/3}}{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2n} \int_{p} \left(\ln \left[\cosh \left(\sqrt{\tilde{U}(\mathbf{p})C_{0}(P)} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{U}(\mathbf{p})}{C_{0}(P)}} \tilde{G}_{0}^{(2)}(P) \sin \left(\sqrt{\tilde{U}(\mathbf{p})C_{0}(P)} \right) \right] - \tilde{U}(\mathbf{p})\tilde{G}_{0}^{(2)}(P) \\ \end{array}$$

Correlation $E_{\rm corr}/N$

Behavior at high density $(r_s \rightarrow 0)$

$$\frac{E_{\text{corr}}}{N} = \frac{1}{2n} \int_{P} \left(\ln \left[\cosh \left(\sqrt{\tilde{U}(\mathbf{p})} C_0(P) \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{U}(\mathbf{p})}{C_0(P)}} \tilde{G}_0^{(2)}(P) \sinh \left(\sqrt{\tilde{U}(\mathbf{p})} C_0(P) \right) \right] - \tilde{U}(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{G}_0^{(2)}(P) \right) \right]$$

Scaling of
$$C_0$$
 and $\tilde{G}_0^{(2)}$ w.r.t. r_s : $C_0(\omega, \mathbf{p}; r_s, \zeta) = C_0(r_s^2\omega, r_s\mathbf{p}; 1, \zeta)$
 $\tilde{G}_0^{(2)}(\omega, \mathbf{p}; r_s, \zeta) = r_s^{-1}\tilde{G}_0^{(2)}(r_s^2\omega, r_s\mathbf{p}; 1, \zeta)$

We have

$$\frac{E_{\text{corr}}}{N} = \frac{1}{2n} \int_{P} \left(\ln \left[1 + \tilde{U}(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{G}_{0}^{(2)}(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \right] - \tilde{U}(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{G}_{0}^{(2)}(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \right) + \frac{1}{4n} \int_{P} \tilde{U}(\mathbf{p}) C_{0}(\omega, \mathbf{p}) + \mathcal{O}(r_{s})$$

$$\underbrace{\bigcirc \cdots & \bigcirc + \bigcirc \cdots & \bigcirc + \cdots & \bigcirc$$

Exact behavior at high density ($r_s \rightarrow 0$) given by GB resum. is reproduced.

• Higher-order contribution is resumed with solving flow eq.

Our approximation seems to be good at low and moderate r_s

Reduction of integrals (e.g. $\zeta = 0$)

$$\frac{E_{\text{corr}}}{N} = \frac{1}{2n} \int \frac{d^{3}\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left[\ln \left[\cosh \left(\sqrt{\tilde{U}(\mathbf{p})C_{0}(P)} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{U}(\mathbf{p})}{C_{0}(P)}} \tilde{G}_{0}^{(2)}(P) \sinh \left(\sqrt{\tilde{U}(\mathbf{p})C_{0}(P)} \right) \right] - \tilde{U}(\mathbf{p})\tilde{G}_{0}^{(2)}(P) \right]$$

$$C_{\lambda=0}(P) = 2N_{s} \int \frac{d^{3}\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int \frac{d^{3}\mathbf{p}''}{(2\pi)^{3}} U(\mathbf{p}') \theta\left(-\xi\left(\mathbf{p}''\right)\right) \left(\theta\left(-\xi\left(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}'+\mathbf{p}''\right)\right) - \theta\left(-\xi\left(\mathbf{p}''+\mathbf{p}''\right)\right)\right) \right)$$

$$\left(\xi(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p}^{2}/2 - \mu_{0}\right)$$

$$\times \left[\frac{\left(\xi\left(\mathbf{p}''+\mathbf{p}\right) - \xi\left(\mathbf{p}''\right)\right)^{2} - \omega^{2}}{\left(\omega^{2} + \left(\xi\left(\mathbf{p}''+\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}'\right) - \xi\left(\mathbf{p}''+\mathbf{p}'\right)\right)^{2}\right) \left(\xi\left(\mathbf{p}''+\mathbf{p}\right) - \xi\left(\mathbf{p}''\right)\right)^{2}\right)} \right]$$

$$\frac{(\xi(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p}^{2}/2 - \mu_{0})}{\left(\omega^{2} + \left(\xi\left(\mathbf{p}''+\mathbf{p}\right) - \xi\left(\mathbf{p}''\right)\right)^{2}\right)^{2}} - \frac{\left(\xi\left(\mathbf{p}''+\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}'\right) - \xi\left(\mathbf{p}''+\mathbf{p}'\right)\right) \left(\xi\left(\mathbf{p}''+\mathbf{p}\right) - \xi\left(\mathbf{p}''\right)\right) - \omega^{2}}{\left(\omega^{2} + \left(\xi\left(\mathbf{p}''+\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}'\right) - \xi\left(\mathbf{p}''+\mathbf{p}'\right)\right)^{2}\right) \left(\omega^{2} + \left(\xi\left(\mathbf{p}''+\mathbf{p}\right) - \xi\left(\mathbf{p}''\right)\right)^{2}\right)} \right]$$

$$\frac{\tilde{G}^{(2)}(P)} \text{ is obtained analytically}}{G^{(2)}(P)}$$

Reduction of integrals (e.g. $\zeta = 0$)

$$\frac{F_{Qeff}}{N} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dw}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\ln \left[\cosh \left(\sqrt{b(p)} C_0(P) \right) + \sqrt{\frac{b(p)}{C_0(P)}} C_0^{(2)} P_0^{(2)} \sinh \left(\sqrt{b(p)} C_0(P) \right) \right] - b(p) C_0^{(2)} P_0 \right] \right]$$

$$c_{i=0}(P) = 2N_{v} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} U(p) \theta(-\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v})) \left(\theta(-\hat{\varepsilon}(p+p^{v}+p^{v})) - \theta(-\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p^{v})) \right)$$

$$\left(\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}) \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{(w^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2})^{2}} - \frac{(\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p+p^{v}) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p^{v})) (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v})) - \omega^{2}}{(w^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2})^{2}} - \frac{(\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p+p^{v}) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p^{v})) (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v})) - \omega^{2}}{(w^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2})^{2}} - \frac{(\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p+p^{v}) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p^{v}))^{2} (w^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2})}{(w^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2})} \right]$$

$$\frac{E_{NP}}{(\pi^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2})^{2}} - \frac{(\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p+p^{v}) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p^{v}))^{2} (w^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2})}{(w^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2}} \right]$$

$$\frac{E_{NP}}{(\pi^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2})^{2}} - \frac{(\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p+p^{v}) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p^{v}))^{2} (w^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2}}{(w^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2}} \right]$$

$$\frac{E_{NP}}{(\pi^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2})^{2}} - \frac{(\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p+p^{v}) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p^{v}))^{2} (w^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2}}{(w^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2}} \right]$$

$$\frac{E_{NP}}}{(\pi^{2} + \pi^{2} + \pi^{2} + \pi^{2} + \pi^{2} + (\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p)) - \theta(-\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))} \left| \theta(-\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v})\right) \right| \theta(\hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}+p^{v}) - \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2}} - \frac{1}{(w + pp^{v} + p^{v} + \hat{\varepsilon}(p^{v}))^{2}} \right]$$

$$\frac{E_{NP}}}{(\pi^{2} + \pi^{2} + \pi^{2}$$

Fast numerical calculation!!

(only a few minutes to obtain $E_{\rm corr}$ with laptops)

Correlation energy per particle

$$\epsilon_{\rm xc} = \epsilon_{\rm x} + \epsilon_{\rm corr}$$
 $\epsilon_{\rm x} = -\frac{3}{4\pi} \left(\frac{9\pi}{4r_{\rm s}}\right)^{1/3} \frac{(1+\zeta)^{4/3} + (1-\zeta)^{4/3}}{2}$

Correlation energy in spin polarized ($\zeta = 0$) and unpolarized ($\zeta = 1$) cases TY, Naito, PRResearch (2021) 0.00 TY, Naito, PRB (2022) -0.010.00-0.02-0.01 $\varepsilon_{
m corr}$ (a.u.) -0.02-0.03**Gell-Mann-Bruckner** -0.03-0.04approximation $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{v}}$ -0.04 (Exact at $r_s \rightarrow 0$) -0.05-0.05-0.06 $\mathsf{GB}\ (\zeta=0)$ -0.06FRG-DFT ($\zeta = 0$) -0.07GB ($\zeta = 1$) FRG-DFT ($\zeta = 1$) -0.08-0.07DMC ($\zeta = 0$) $\mathbf{2}$ 3 5 DMC ($\zeta = 1$) $r_{
m s}$ -0.0860 2040 80 100 Wigner-Seitz radius r_s (a.u.)

FRG-DFT results agree with Monte-Carlo (MC) results without any empirical parameter! FRG-DFT gives many data points than MC! \Rightarrow LDA functional without fitting

Construction of EDF without empirical parameters ($\zeta = 0$ case)

Correlation part of LDA EDF

$$E_{\rm corr}^{\rm LDA}[\rho] = \left| d\mathbf{x} \epsilon_{\rm corr}(\rho(\mathbf{x})) \rho(\mathbf{x}) \right|$$

Many of conventional EDFs (PZ81, VWN, PW92...): The form of $\epsilon_{corr}(\rho)$ is assumed empirically and free parameters are fit to few DMC data...



Many-data point obtained by FRG-DFT

Numerical-table method Non-empirical parameter in $10^{-6} \le r_{\rm s} < 10^2$ (physically relevant region)

- **Details** Linear interpolation between data
 - Replaced by Gell-Mann-Brueckner resum in $r_{\rm s} < 10^{-6} {\rm a.u.}$
 - Extrapolation to $r_s \ge 10^2 a \cdot u$. by PZ81-type function (parameters are determined from data in 95a.u. $< r_s < 100a.u.$)

But the results hardly depend on these choices.



Derivative of ϵ_{corr} are also obtained **analytically.**

Need for Kohn-Sham calculation

Kohn-Sham calc. for noble gas atoms

TY, Naito, PRResearch (2021)

Comparison to other conventional functionals

VWN, PW92 (LDA obtained by fitting of MC data) PBE (generalized gradient approximation)



Summary

Density functional theory (DFT)

Efficient method to analyze electrons, nuclei, ...

How to derive energy density functional (EDF)? Key quantity in DFT

Effective-action formalism will provide a new way to construct EDF

Functional evolution equation in a closed form for effective action FRG techniques are useful

Application: vertex expansion (electrons, ...)

Other direction: spectral function TY, Yoshida, Kunihiro, PTEP (2019) superfluid system TY, Kasuya, Yoshida, Kunihiro PTEP (2021)

Outlook

Inclusion of gradient effect (LPA? Neural-net ansatz?) Application to realistic nuclear matter, nuclei Numerical study of superfluid systems

Appendix

Calculation of excited states

Analytic continuation to real time

FRG technique to obtain real-time spectral function via **analytic continuation of flow eq. for correlation function** has been developed.

e.g.) meson spectral function in O(4), quark-meson model Kamikado, Strodthoff, von Smekal, Wambach (2020) Tripolt, Strodthoff, von Smekal, Wambach (2014) TY, Kunihiro, Morita (2016), (2017)...

This technique can be used to calculate spectral function of density fluctuation in FRG-DFT!

Flow eq. for correlation with Matsubara freq. $ilde{G}_{2}^{(2)}(\omega_{
m i},p)$

Analytic continuation for flow eq.

Flow eq. for real-time correlation $\tilde{G}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{R},\lambda}(\omega,p)$

Solution

Spectral function in density channel: $\rho_{\rm d}(\omega,p) = -2{\rm Im} \tilde{G}^{(2)}_{{\rm R},\lambda=1}(\omega,p)$

One-dim. nuclear matter

• Finite particle number in finite volume

Kemler, Pospiech, Braun, JPG (2017)

Infinite matter

TY, Yoshida, Kunihiro, PRC (2019)



Excitation in Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid



FRG-DFT result of $\rho_{d}(\omega, p)$

TY, Yoshida, Kunihiro, PTEP (2019)



$\rho_{\rm d}(\omega,p)$ at fixed momentum

TY, Yoshida, Kunihiro, PTEP (2019)

