Thermal behavior of effective  $U_A(1)$ anomaly couplings in reflection of higher topological sectors

#### Gergely Fejős (ゲルゲイ フェヨシュ)

#### Eötvös University Budapest & RIKEN iTHEMS

Functional Renormalization Group 2024 at Niigata

7 January 2024

GF and A. Patkos, Phys. Rev. D105, 096007 (2022) GF and A. Patkos. arXiv:2311.02186 Gergely Fejős

Thermal behavior of effective  $U_A(1)$  anomaly couplings in refle

#### Introduction

Anomalous couplings in the  ${\rm L}\sigma{\rm M}$ 

Chirally invariant flow equations

Numerical results

Summary

Gergely Fejős

#### Introduction

• QCD Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}=-rac{1}{4}G^{a}_{\mu
u}G^{\mu
u a}+ar{q}_{i}ig(i\gamma^{\mu}(D_{\mu})_{ij}-m\delta_{ij}ig)q_{j}$$

 $\longrightarrow$  *SU*(3) gauge symmetry

- $\longrightarrow U_L(N_f) \times U_R(N_f)$  global (approx.) chiral symmetry
- $\rightarrow$  anomalous breaking of  $U_A(1)$  axial symmetry
- At low temperatures: spontaneous breaking  $SU_L(N_f) \times SU_R(N_f) \longrightarrow SU_V(N_f)$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

### Introduction

• QCD Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}=-rac{1}{4}G^{a}_{\mu
u}G^{\mu
u a}+ar{q}_{i}ig(i\gamma^{\mu}(D_{\mu})_{ij}-m\delta_{ij}ig)q_{j}$$

 $\longrightarrow$  *SU*(3) gauge symmetry

- $\longrightarrow U_L(N_f) \times U_R(N_f)$  global (approx.) chiral symmetry
- $\rightarrow$  anomalous breaking of  $U_A(1)$  axial symmetry
- At low temperatures: spontaneous breaking  $SU_L(N_f) \times SU_R(N_f) \longrightarrow SU_V(N_f)$
- At high temperatures:  $U_A(1)$  anomaly disappears  $\rightarrow$  semi-classical approximation of instanton density:

 $[\rho$  - instanton size]

$$n(\rho) \sim \rho^{-5} \exp[-(\pi \rho T)^2]$$

- $\longrightarrow$  only valid for  $T \gg T_C$
- $\rightarrow$  what is the fate of the anomaly for low temperatures?

#### 3 FLAVOR CHIRAL MESON MODEL:

 Low energy effective model: M - meson fields [excitations of M: π, K, η, η' and a<sub>0</sub>, κ, f<sub>0</sub>, σ]

$$M = (s^a + i\pi^a)T^a \qquad \operatorname{Tr}(T^aT^b) = \delta_{ab}/2$$

• Lagrangian with renormalizable operators (3 + 1 dim.): (Euclidean!)

$$\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[\partial_i M^{\dagger} \partial_i M\right] + m^2 \operatorname{Tr} \left(M^{\dagger} M\right) + g_1 \left[\operatorname{Tr} \left(M^{\dagger} M\right)\right]^2 + g_2 \operatorname{Tr} \left(M^{\dagger} M M^{\dagger} M\right) + a \left(\det M^{\dagger} + \det M\right) - \operatorname{Tr} \left[H(M^{\dagger} + M)\right]$$

- $\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}$  contains renormalizable operators and it is invariant under chiral symmetry [apart from  $U_A(1)$ ]
- $\rightarrow$  in the quantum effective action every operator allowed by symmetry is present!

• Quantum effective action:

$$\Gamma[M] = -\log \int \mathcal{D}\hat{M} \exp\left(-\int_{X} \mathcal{L}_{J}[M+\hat{M}]\right) - \int_{X} \operatorname{Tr}\left(J^{\dagger}M + JM^{\dagger}\right)$$

 $\longrightarrow$  Ansatz for  $\Gamma[M]$ ? It has to reflect chiral symmetry!

• Chiral invariants for 3 flavors:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \rho & = & \operatorname{Tr} \left( M^{\dagger} M \right), \\ \tau & = & \operatorname{Tr} \left( M^{\dagger} M - \rho/3 \right)^2 \\ \rho_3 & = & \operatorname{Tr} \left( M^{\dagger} M - \rho/3 \right)^3 \\ \Delta & = & \det M^{\dagger} + \det M \quad \to \text{ anomaly }! \end{array}$$

- Note 1: higher order traces are not independent!
- Note 2:  $\tilde{\Delta} \equiv \det M^{\dagger} \det M$  has wrong parity and  $\tilde{\Delta}^2$  is not independent!

Thermal behavior of effective  $U_A(1)$  anomaly couplings...

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

 $\bullet$  What terms besides  $\sim \Delta$  can describe the anomaly?

$$\longrightarrow \rho\Delta, \ \rho^2\Delta, \ \dots, \ \rho^n\Delta, \ \dots \\ \longrightarrow \tau\Delta, \ \tau^2\Delta, \ \dots, \ \tau^n\Delta, \ \dots \qquad \Rightarrow dropped! \\ \longrightarrow \rho_3\Delta, \ \rho_3^2\Delta, \ \dots, \ \rho_3^n\Delta, \ \dots \qquad \Rightarrow dropped!$$

• Chiral limit: in the ground state  $M \sim 1 \Rightarrow \tau = 0$ ,  $\rho_3 = 0!$ 

Thermal behavior of effective  $U_A(1)$  anomaly couplings...

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

3

• What terms besides  $\sim \Delta$  can describe the anomaly?

$$\longrightarrow \rho\Delta, \ \rho^{2}\Delta, \ \dots, \ \rho^{n}\Delta, \ \dots$$
$$\longrightarrow \tau\Delta, \ \tau^{2}\Delta, \ \dots, \ \tau^{n}\Delta, \ \dots \qquad \Rightarrow \text{dropped!}$$
$$\longrightarrow \rho_{3}\Delta, \ \rho_{3}^{2}\Delta, \ \dots, \ \rho_{3}^{n}\Delta, \ \dots \qquad \Rightarrow \text{dropped!}$$

• Chiral limit: in the ground state  $M \sim 1 \Rightarrow \tau = 0$ ,  $\rho_3 = 0$ ! • Resummation:

$$\sum_{n} a_{n} \rho^{n} \Delta \equiv A(\rho) \Delta$$
$$\sum_{n} a_{n}^{(2)} \rho^{n} \Delta \equiv A^{(2)}(\rho) \Delta^{2}$$

- Higher orders in  $\Delta \Rightarrow$  instantons with  $|{\it Q}|>1$
- Resummation in  $\Delta$ :  $U(\rho, \Delta)$

Thermal behavior of effective  $U_A(1)$  anomaly couplings...

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• Classical action:

$$S[M] = \int_{x} \mathcal{L} = \int_{x} \left[ \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \partial_{i} M^{\dagger} \partial_{i} M \right] + \frac{m^{2} \rho}{\rho} + \lambda_{1} \rho^{2} + \lambda_{2} \tau + a \Delta - \operatorname{Tr} \left[ H(M^{\dagger} + M) \right] \right]$$

• Ansatz for the effective action:

$$\Gamma[M] = \int_{X} \gamma = \int_{X} \left[ \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \partial_{i} M^{\dagger} \partial_{i} M \right] + \frac{U(\rho, \Delta)}{- \operatorname{Tr} \left[ H(M^{\dagger} + M) \right]} \right]$$

- Task: calculate generalized "couplings"  $U(\rho, \Delta)$  and  $C(\rho)$
- Use FRG with Litim's optimal regulator:  $R_k(\omega, \vec{q}) = (k^2 - \vec{q}^2)\Theta(k^2 - \vec{q}^2)$

向下 イヨト イヨト

• Wetterich equation:

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k = \frac{1}{2} \int \tilde{\partial}_k \operatorname{Log} \operatorname{Det} \left( \Gamma_k^{(2)} + R_k \right)$$

• From the ansatz for  $\Gamma_k$ :

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k |_{\text{hom}} = \int_x \left[ \partial_k U_k(\rho, \Delta) + \partial_k C_k(\rho) \tau \right]$$

- Task: match the Wetterich equation with the ansatz
  - $\rightarrow$  problem:  $\Gamma_k^{(2)}$  is not chirally invariant (but  $\Gamma_k$  has to be!)  $\rightarrow$  any background fields can be applied!
- E.g.  $M = (s_0 + i\pi_0)T_0 \sim \mathbf{1} \Rightarrow \tau = 0$   $\rho = (s_0^2 + \pi_0^2)/2$  $\Delta = s_0(s_0^2 - 3\pi_0^2)/(3\sqrt{6})$
- Multiplet structure:  $8 \oplus 8 \oplus \{1 \text{ doublet}\}$

$$\begin{split} \partial_k U_k(\rho, \Delta) &= \Omega_d \frac{4k^d}{d} T \sum_n \tilde{\partial}_k \log \left( (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,\rho})^2 + \frac{4}{3} (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,\rho}) \rho C_k - \frac{1}{3} \rho U_{k,\Delta}^2 + 2\Delta C_k U_{k,\Delta} \right) \\ &+ \Omega_d \frac{k^d}{2d} T \sum_n \tilde{\partial}_k \log \left[ (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,\rho} + 3\Delta U_{k,\rho\Delta})^2 + (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,\rho}) \left( 2\rho U_{k,\rho\rho} + \frac{2}{3} \rho^2 U_{k,\Delta\Delta} \right) \right. \\ &\left. - 6\Delta U_{k,\Delta} U_{k,\rho\rho} - \frac{4}{3} \rho U_{k,\Delta} (U_{k,\Delta} + 2\rho U_{k,\rho\Delta}) - \frac{4}{3} \rho^3 U_{k,\rho\Delta}^2 \right. \\ &\left. - U_{k,\Delta\Delta} \left( 2\rho \Delta U_{k,\Delta} - \frac{1}{3} (4\rho^3 - 27\Delta^2) U_{k,\rho\rho} \right) \right], \end{split}$$

 By subtracting the flow of U<sub>k</sub> and choosing a different background, one identifies τ → yields the flow of C<sub>k</sub>

• E.g. 
$$M = i(\pi_0 T_0 + \pi_8 T_8) \Rightarrow \tau = \pi_0^2 \pi_8^2 / 3 + \mathcal{O}(\pi_8^3)$$
  
 $\rho = (\pi_0^2 + \pi_8^2) / 2$   
 $\Delta = 0$ 

 $\rightarrow$  more complicated multiplet structure, but the Log Det combines into invariants

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

$$\begin{split} \partial_k C_k(\rho) &= \Omega_k \frac{k^d}{d} T \sum_n \tilde{\partial}_k \left\{ \frac{U_{n,n}^2}{2\rho} \left( \frac{1}{D_n} - \frac{1}{2D_n} - \frac{(\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n})^2}{2D_0 D_{k,n}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{3}{4\rho} (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n}) \left( U_{k,n\rho} - \frac{3}{3} C_k \right) \left( \frac{1}{D_n} - \frac{1}{D_0} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2D_0} \left[ \rho U_{k,\Delta\Delta} \left( \frac{2}{3} C_k - U_{k,n\rho} \right) + U_{k,\lambda\Delta} (2U_{k,\lambda} + \rho U_{k,n\Delta}) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2D_0 D_k} \left[ (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n}) \left( 2U_{k,n\rho} \left( \frac{1}{2} U_{k,\Delta} - \rho U_{k,n\Delta} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{3} (2C_k + \rho U_{k,n\Delta}) \left( \frac{1}{2} U_{k,\Delta} + \rho U_{k,n\Delta} \right)^2 \right) \\ &+ 2(\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n})^2 \rho U_{k,n\Delta}^2 - \frac{2}{9} \rho^2 U_{k,\Delta\Delta} C(U_{k,\Delta} + 2\rho U_{k,n\Delta})^2 \right] \\ \hline \\ &- \Omega_d \frac{k^d}{2d} T \sum_n \tilde{\partial}_k \left\{ \frac{1}{3D_0 D_k} \left[ (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n} + 2\rho U_{k,n\rho}) (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n} + \frac{4}{3} \rho C) (2C_k - \rho U_{k,\Delta\Delta})^2 + \left( (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n} + \frac{2}{3} \rho^2 U_{k,\Delta\Delta}) (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n} + \frac{4}{3} \rho C) (2C_k - \rho U_{k,\Delta\Delta})^2 \right) \\ &+ \left( (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n} + \frac{2}{3} \rho^2 U_{k,\Delta\Delta}) (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n} + \frac{4}{3} \rho C) (2\rho U_{k,n\Delta} - U_{k,\Delta}) \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{3D_0 D_k} \left[ 3(U_{k,n\rho} + 4(C_k + \rho C_{k,p}) \right] \left[ U_{h,\Delta} \left( \omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n} + \frac{2}{3} \rho^2 U_{k,\Delta\Delta} - U_{k,\Delta} \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{3D_0 D_k} \left[ 2(C_k - \rho U_{k,\Delta\Delta}) \left[ (\omega_n^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n} + 2\rho U_{k,p,n} U_{k,n} + V_{k,n} - U_{k,n}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{3D_0 D_k} \left[ \frac{1}{4} U_{k,\Delta}^2 - \rho^2 U_{k,\mu^2}^2 + \rho(2C_k - \rho U_{k,\Delta\Delta}) \left( U_{k,n\rho} + \frac{4}{3} (C_k + \rho C_{k,\rho}) \right) \right] U_{k,\Delta} (U_{k,\Delta} + \rho U_{k,\mu\Delta}) \right] \\ &+ \Omega_d \frac{d}{d} T \sum_n \left[ \left[ \frac{T}{D_k} \left( C_k^2 + k^2 + U_{k,n} + 2\rho U_{k,\rho\rho} \right) \right] \\ &+ \left( U_{k,\alpha}^2 + k^2 - U_{k,\alpha} + 2\rho U_{k,\rho\rho} \right) \\ &+ \left( U_{k,\alpha}^2 + k^2 - U_{k,\alpha} \right) \left( \omega_{k,\mu}^2 + k^2 + U_{k,\mu} + 2\rho U_{k,\rho\rho} \right) + U_{k,\alpha} \Delta + \rho U_{k,\mu\Delta} \right) \right] \\ &+ \left( U_{k,\alpha}^2 + k^2 + U_{k,\alpha} \right) \left( (\omega_{k,\mu}^2 + k^2 + U_{k,\mu} + 2\rho U_{k,\mu\rho} \right) + U_{k,\mu} + 2\rho U_{k,\mu\rho} \right) \\ \\ &+ \left( U_{k,\alpha} - \frac{1}{3D_k} \left[ U_{k,\alpha,\alpha} + \frac{2}{3} \rho U_{k,\alpha,\alpha} + U_{k,\alpha,\alpha} + U_{k,\alpha,\alpha} \right] \right] \right] \\ &+ \left( U_{k,\alpha} + k^2 + U_{k,\mu} \right) \left( (\omega_{k,\mu} + k^2 + U_{k,\mu} + 2\rho U_{k,\mu\rho} \right) + U_{k,\mu} + 2\rho U_{k,\mu\rho} \right) \\ \\ &+ \left( U_{k,\alpha} - U_{k,\alpha,\alpha} + U_{k,\alpha,\alpha} \right) \left( U_{k,\alpha,\mu} + 2U_{k,\alpha,\alpha} + 2U_{k,\alpha,\alpha$$

Gergely Fejős

Thermal behavior of effective  $U_A(1)$  anomaly couplings...

<回ト < 三ト < 三ト

臣

- Numerical solution: grid method
- Start from  $k = \Lambda \equiv 1$  GeV and integrate toward  $k \longrightarrow 0$ ( $k_{end} \sim 50 \text{ MeV}$ )
- 2D grid is costly  $\Rightarrow U(\rho, \Delta) \approx U(\rho) + A(\rho)\Delta + B(\rho)\Delta^2$

Thermal behavior of effective  $U_A(1)$  anomaly couplings...

- Numerical solution: grid method
- Start from  $k = \Lambda \equiv 1$  GeV and integrate toward  $k \longrightarrow 0$  ( $k_{end} \sim 50 \text{ MeV}$ )
- 2D grid is costly  $\Rightarrow U(\rho, \Delta) \approx U(\rho) + A(\rho)\Delta + B(\rho)\Delta^2$
- Classical (UV) potential:

 $V_{\Lambda} = m^2 \rho + \lambda_1 \rho^2 + \lambda_2 \tau + a \Delta - h_a s^a$ 

• Effective potential:

 $V_{k} = U_{k}(\rho) + C_{k}(\rho)\tau + A_{k}(\rho)\Delta + B_{k}(\rho)\Delta^{2} - h_{a}s^{a}$ 

- Six unknown parameters  $\{m^2, g_1, g_2, a, h_s, h_{ns}\} \Rightarrow six inputs!$
- 2 PCAC relations ( $f_{\pi}, f_{K}$  decay const.'s), 4 masses ( $\pi, K, \eta, \eta'$ )

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト・ラ

- Effective model valid for scales  $0 \lesssim k \lesssim 1 \, {
  m GeV}$
- UV model parameters {m<sup>2</sup>, g<sub>1</sub>, g<sub>2</sub>, a, h<sub>s</sub>, h<sub>ns</sub>} should (in principal) be temperature dependent → usually ignored
- BUT: T dependence of the anomaly is crucial!<sup>1</sup>

$$a(T) \sim \int d
ho 
ho^{3N_f} n(
ho) \sim \int d
ho 
ho^4 \exp[-(\pi 
ho T)^2] d
ho \sim T^{-5}$$

Working hypothesis:

$$a(T) = a(T = 0) \left[ 1 + \left( \left( \frac{T_c}{T} \right)^5 - 1 \right) \Theta(T - T_c) \right]$$

<sup>1</sup>R. D. Pisarski & F. Rennecke, Phys. Rev. D101, 114019 (2020).  $12 \times 22 \times 22 \times 22$ Gergely Fejős Thermal behavior of effective  $U_A(1)$  anomaly couplings...



Gergely Fejős







Gergely Fejős

• Effective anomaly coupling:  $A_{\min}\Delta_{\min} + B_{\min}\Delta_{\min}^2 \equiv A_{eff}\Delta_{\min}$ 



Gergely Fejős

Similar behavior in *K. Fukushima et. al*, PRC**63** (2001) 045203
 → NJL model calculation: fitting the *K* anomaly parameter to recover *χ*<sub>top</sub> of the lattice



Gergely Fejős







Gergely Fejős



masses [MeV]



masses [MeV]

# Summary

- Thermal evolution of the  $U_A(1)$  anomaly in L $\sigma$ M ( $N_f = 3$ )
- Infinite class of  $U_A(1)$  breaking operators
  - $\longrightarrow$  inclusion of topological sectors with arbitrary charge
- Resulting anomaly function calculated via the FRG
  - $\longrightarrow$  exploring the condensate dependence of the

|Q| = 1 and |Q| = 2 couplings

- Mesonic fluctuations strengthen the anomaly toward  $T_C$ 
  - $\longrightarrow$  temperature dependence of the bare anomaly parameter is important!
- $\bullet~\sim 10\%$  increase of the effective anomaly coupling toward  ${\it T_C}$
- a similar ratio of  $\sim 10\%$  is coming from the |Q| = 2 coupling

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

# Summary

- Thermal evolution of the  $U_A(1)$  anomaly in L $\sigma$ M ( $N_f = 3$ )
- Infinite class of  $U_A(1)$  breaking operators
  - $\longrightarrow$  inclusion of topological sectors with arbitrary charge
- Resulting anomaly function calculated via the FRG
  - $\longrightarrow$  exploring the condensate dependence of the

|Q| = 1 and |Q| = 2 couplings

- Mesonic fluctuations strengthen the anomaly toward  $T_C$ 
  - $\longrightarrow$  temperature dependence of the bare anomaly parameter is important!
- $\bullet~\sim 10\%$  increase of the effective anomaly coupling toward  ${\it T_C}$
- a similar ratio of  $\sim 10\%$  is coming from the |Q| = 2 coupling
- Future plans:
  - $\longrightarrow$  calculate the full  $U(
    ho, \Delta)$  function on a 2D grid
  - $\rightarrow$  effective model(s) for  $N_c = 2$  QCD (Pauli-Gursey symm.)

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト